

# UNITED STATES L\_ARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/897, 076 07/18/97 MUGHENLEY J NCI-1001

QM21/0515

EXAMINER

DAVID I ROCHE
BAKER AND MCKENZIE
ONE PRUDENTIAL PLAZA
130 EAST RANDOLF DRIVE
CHICAGO IL 60601

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

BLAU, S

DATE MAILED:

05/15/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/897,076

Applicant(s)

McGeeney

Examiner

Stephen Blau

Group Art Unit 3711



□ This action is FINAL.  □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Queyle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.  A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 18, 1997	·
in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 0.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3	☐ This action is FINAL.	
s longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the spiplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).  Disposition of Claims  Claim(s) 1-52		
Claim(s) 1-52	s longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Of the above, claim(s) 23-52	Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s)	Of the above, claim(s) 23-52	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s) 1-22   is/are rejected.   is/are rejected.   is/are objected to.   is/are objected to.   is/are objected to.   is/are objected to.   is/are objected to requirement.	☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)		
Claims		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on		
The proposed drawing correction, filed on isapproved isapproved is	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		isspprovedsisapproved.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All		
□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been   □ received.   □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)   □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   *Certified copies not received:   □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).   Attachment(s)  M Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	•	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	received.	
*Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.	umber)
<ul> <li>Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)</li></ul>	$\square$ received in this national stage application from th	e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)</li> <li>☑ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)</li> <li>Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	Attachment(s)	
<ul> <li>□ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		No(s)2
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	•	948
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	540
·		
		A THE FOLLOWING DAGES

Art Unit:

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a golf club head, classified in class 473, subclass 341.
  - II. Claims 23-52, drawn to a method of making a golf club head, classified in class425, subclass 110.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of a golf club head and a method of making a golf club head are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case a method a golf club head can be made by another process rather than molding as using adhesive or srews to secure the segments together.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

. ,

Art Unit:

drawn to a non-elected invention.

4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. David I. Roche on 29 April 1998 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of a golf club head, claims 1-22. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 23-52 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Information Disclosure Statement

6. The three pieces of other prior art listed on sheet 1 of 3 of the Information Disclosure Statement dated 7/18/98 were not considered due to a month not being provided for the first two listed and a month and a year not being provided for the third one listed which is required by article 609 (MPEP).

Art Unit:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 8. Claims 1-2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Coughlin.

Coughlin discloses three discrete segments in a form that a body comprises a heel, toe and center sections being individually distinct due to shape and location (See enclosure (1)) including a heel formed of a first dense metallic material in a form of a heel weight formed of a copper alloy, a toe being formed of a second dense metallic material in a form of a toe weight formed of a copper alloy (Col. 2 Lns. 60-64), a center segment being formed of a non-metallic material in a form of a polycarbonate plastic (Col. 2 Lns. 39-45) having a density substantially less than metallic materials comprising a heel or a toe (Col. 2 Lns. 60-64), a heel, toe and center segment being joined together; a strike face extending across one side of said heel, toe, and center segment, a non-metallic material comprising said center segment being homogenous substantially

Page 5

Serial Number: 08/897,076

Art Unit:

entirely along at least one plane perpendicular to said strike face, wherein a non-metallic material has a homogenous composition (Col. 2 Lns. 39-45, enclosure (1)), and wherein a head being a putter-type head (Title).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hussey. 9.

Hussey discloses a head comprising three discrete segments in a form that a body comprises a heel, toe and center segments being individually distinct due to type of material including a heel being formed of a first dense metallic material in a form of metal, a toe being formed of a second dense metallic material in a form of metal, and a center segment being formed of a non-metallic material having a density substantially less than metallic materials comprising a heel or a toe in a form of a center segment being formed of wood, a heel, toe and center segment being joined together, and a strike face extending across one side of a heel, toe and center segment, a non-metallic material comprising a center segment being homogenous substantially entirely along at least one plane perpendicular to a strike face (Fig. 3, Col. 3 Lns. 53-67, Col. 5 Lns. 19-31, Col. 6 Lns. 47-50).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness 10. rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 3-8, 13-18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coughlin.

Coughlin discloses a first and second metallic materials each comprising at least two metals, a first metal dispersed in a matrix of a second metal, and a first metal having a higher density than a second metal in a form of brass or bronze (Col. 2 Lns. 60-64). In addition, Coughlin discloses having a heavy material as lead which has a density of at least 10 grams per cubic centimeter and steel or any other material (Col. 2 Lns. 60-64). Clearly an artisan skilled in the art of making heavy weights of material would have selected a suitable material which has a suitable density in which lead brass is included.

The differences between the claims and Coughlin are that Coughlin does not disclose a first and second metallic materials each having a final alloy density of at least 7 grams per cubic centimeter, a final alloy density of 7 to 13 grams per cubic centimeter, a final alloy density of 9 to 11 grams per cubic centimeter, a final alloy density of approximately 10 grams per cubic centimeter, a first metal having a density of at least 10 grams per cubic centimeter, and a second metal being selected from copper based alloys.

It would have been obvious to modify the head of Coughlin to have weights made of lead brass with a density as defined by the claims in order to weight a toe and heel more than by using

Art Unit:

just brass weights but less than by using just lead to accommodate the club head balance requirements of a specific player.

12. Claims 9-11 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coughlin as applied to claims 3-8, 13-18 and 22 above, and further in view of LaCoste.

The difference between the claims and Coughlin is that Coughlin does not disclose a non-metallic material being made of polyurethane.

LaCoste discloses a head made out of polyurethane in order to have a hard and impact resistant head (Col. 4 Lns. 23-26). In view of the patent of LaCoste it would have been obvious to have modify the head of Coughlin to have a non-metallic material being made of polyurethane in order to have a hard and impact resistant head.

### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cook, Solheim, Borah, Minotti and Jacobson disclose a head in which individual members are secured together to make a head body. Shira (5,669,825) discloses a head formed of three segments in which each segment is formed entirely of a homogenous material. Shira (4,992,236) discloses high density heads. Sturm discloses a putter head made of brass. Allen and

Art Unit:

Jepson disclose polyurethane faces. Sadsum discloses a head with three segments in which the toe and heel segments contain metallic material as steel bars.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Jessica Harrison whose telephone number is (703) 308-2217. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

slb/5/8/98

SEBASTIANO PASSANITI
PRIMARY EXAMINER